

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/077 106	06/16/00	CHAMBERS	·	•	0.61.57
08/077,106 06/16/93 CHAMBERS		CUHMBERS	J		A6197
		•		ELEY, T	EXAMINER
**		32M1/0127		A DT LINUT	0.055.000
		MACPEAK & SEAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 PENNSY WASHINGTON,					2
	2.00	,		3206	
				DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA	from the examiner in	charge of your application. EMARKS			01/27/94
		•			
This application has		Responsive to communication			This action is made fina
A shortened statutory per Failure to respond within	riod for response to the	nis action is set to expireset will cause the application to be	month(s), _ come abandone	days tro	om the date of this letter.
		ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
1. Notice of Refe	erences Cited by Eval	miner PTO-892	2 Notice	of Draftomon's Bo	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Cited by Applicant, PT				Application, PTO-152.
		ng Changes, PTO-1474.	6. 🔲		
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION				
		1-10			
1. Cialms		1-20			are pending in the application
Of the above	ve, claims			are	withdrawn trom consideration.
2. Claims			<u> </u>		have been cancelled.
3. Ciaims					_ are allowed.
4. 🔯 Claims	1-1	11,13,4/18-20			_ are rejected.
5. Claims		11,13,41,20			are objected to.
_		ormal drewings under 37 C.F.R. 1			
		nse to this Office action.			
9. The corrected or are acceptable	substitute drawings h	ave been received on (see explanation or Notice of Draft	sman's Patent [	Under 37 C. Drawing Review, PT	F.R. 1.84 these drawings O-948).
10. The proposed ed exeminer;  dis	ditional or substitute sapproved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).		has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed dre	wing correction, tiled	, has bee	en □approved	j; □ disapproved (	see explanetion).
12. Acknowledgemen	t is made ot the claim arent application, seri	a for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. al no; tlied	The certified co	opy has been re	ceived not been received
13. Since this applicate accordance with the	tion apppears to be in he practice under Ex	n condition for allowance except to parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (	r formal matters, D.G. 213.	, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
14. Other					

Serial Number: 08/077106

Art Unit: 3206

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

## Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because
  - a. Numeral "30" (figure 1) does not have a lead line.
- b. Numeral "28" (figure 1) has 2 lead lines. Correction is required.

## Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. "enfluent" (page 1, line 24) is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- b. "(a)s...60."(page 7,lines 29-33) is not consistent with figure 2 since figure 2 depicts that a seal assembly 62 is in each opening in the end housing and also a seal assembly 64 in one opening of the end housing.
- c. Numeral "104" (page 9, line 11) is not seen in the drawings.
- d. "(t)he bottom...spacer."(page 10,lines 16-18) is awkwardly worded.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use

-3-

Serial Number: 08/077106

Art Unit: 3206

the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide and adequate written description of the invention.

- a. On page 8, lines 12-14, applicants state that a dynamic seal is placed between the spring 80 and the cylindrical portion 78. However, from figure 2, it appears that the spring 80 is locate proximate to the cylindrical portion.
- b. In claims 5,8, and 15, applicants recite a labyrinth. Is this the same as the seal already mentioned in claims 1 and 11?

  If not, is there more than one seal?
- c. In claims 10 and 20, how can a seal isolate the ends of a shaft from the seal?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. Claims 3,5,8,10,13,15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 5. Claims 1-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. "each end assembly" (claims 5 and 15) lacks proper antecedent basis.

Serial Number: 08/077106 -4-

Art Unit: 3206

b. "to provide...housing." (claim 1, last 2 lines) is not fully understood. The fluid is isolated between the end housing and what?

c. Is "an end housing" (claim 8, line 2) the same as the one in claim 1? If so, "an" should be --said--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 1,11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Potts.
- 8. Claims 1,6,9,11,16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Flament. Note, that the cover(14) functions as a port.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 12,14, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 2-5,7,8,13, and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3206

### Conclusion

- 11. No art rejections have been applied to claims 10 and 20 since it is not readily apparent as to exactly what is being claimed.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Documents related to the instant application may be submitted to Group 3200 by facsimile transmission. Transmissions can be received from applicant(s) at all times. Documents should be faxed to Group 3200 Facsimile Center. The faxing of such documents must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (October 19, 1988). Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Group 3200 Facsimile Center number is (703) 305-3579.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is (703)308-1824.

tve January 13, 1994

TIMOTHY V. ELEY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200